| 1 2 3 4 5 | SONAL N. MEHTA (SBN 222086) Sonal.Mehta@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 2600 El Camino Real, Suite 400 Palo Alto, CA 94306 Telephone: (650) 858-6000 Facsimile: (650) 858-6100 | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| 6 7 8 9 | DAVID Z. GRINGER (pro hac vice) David.Gringer@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 1875 Pennsylvania Ave NW Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 663-6000 Facsimile: (202) 663-6363 | | |
| 11 12 | Attorneys for Defendant FACEBOOK, INC. | | |
| 13 14 | UNITED STATES DIST NORTHERN DISTRICT | | |
| 15 | SAN JOSE DIVISION | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 18 19 20 | MAXIMILIAN KLEIN and SARAH GRABERT, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. | Case No. 5:20-cv-08570-LHK DEFENDANT FACEBOOK, INC.'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS TO APPOINT INTERIM LEAD COUNSEL | |
| 21 22 23 | FACEBOOK, INC., a Delaware Corporation headquartered in California, Defendant. | Judge: Hon. Lucy H. Koh | |
| | Defendant. | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |

Case No. 5:20-cv-08570-LHK

FACEBOOK'S RESPONSE TO MOTIONS TO APPOINT INTERIM LEAD COUNSEL

Case 3:20-cv-08570-JD Document 60 Filed 03/10/21 Page 2 of 4

Defendant Facebook, Inc. takes no position on the relief sought in the motions for appointment of interim lead counsel for the putative user and advertiser classes, including Plaintiffs' apparent decision to propose separate leadership structures for the two classes. Dkt. Nos. 55-59. Facebook writes only to address two important issues raised by the motions.

First, to the extent Plaintiffs' proposal for separate leadership structures is intended to suggest that Plaintiffs want to file multiple complaints, it should be rejected. The Court's decision to consolidate the user and advertiser cases in view of the overlapping issues of law and fact raised across the complaints was correct and should not be disturbed, even if the Court elects to appoint separate leadership structures for the two classes. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a). Many plaintiffs acknowledged this substantial overlap in their many filings seeking to relate these cases to one another. Dkt. Nos. 19, 34, 41, 49. Indeed, there are some plaintiffs that are both users and advertisers. Given the substantial overlap in allegations and theories, principles of efficiency and judicial economy counsel strongly in favor of a single complaint for all the consolidated cases. (To be certain, no counsel has identified any actual conflict between the putative classes in their respective motions, and they acknowledge that any conflicts that may emerge could be addressed later through appointment of separate interim class counsel, see, e.g., Dkt. No. 55 at 25.)

Second, the submissions raise important issues relating to the role of counsel in related or similar cases and coordination between cases that Facebook and interim class counsel will need to confer on and discuss with the Court. See, e.g., Dkt. No. 59 at 19 n.7 (noting Quinn Emanuel's representation of Google in "a lawsuit similar to this one"); Dkt. No. 55 (noting Keller Lenkner's representation of Texas, North Dakota, and Idaho (which States are all also involved in an antitrust action against Facebook) in the multi-state antitrust case against Google). Although it will undoubtedly be important for there to be some coordination with the lawsuits filed by the FTC and various State Attorneys General against Facebook in the District Court for the District of Columbia

Case 3:20-cv-08570-JD Document 60 Filed 03/10/21 Page 3 of 4

| 1 | to enhance efficiency and lessen the burdens on the parties and the Court, the parties and the Court | | |
|----|---|-------------------|--|
| 2 | will also need to closely manage information flow and access to confidential and strategic | | |
| 3 | information by the various counsel, consultants, experts, and others involved. | | |
| 4 | 4 | | |
| 5 | 5 Dated: March 10, 2021 Respectfully submitt | ed, | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | 7 By: /s/ Sonal N. Meh | ta | |
| 8 | 8 Sonal N. Mehta (SB) WILMER CUTLER | * | |
| 9 | 9 HALE AND DOR | R LLP | |
| 10 | 2600 El Camino Rea 10 Palo Alto, CA 94306 | | |
| 11 | Telephone: (650) 85 | 58-6000 | |
| | Fracili Sonal Mahta | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | David Z. Gringer (pr WILMER CUTLER | , | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | 1875 Pennsylvania A | | |
| | Telephone: (202) 66 | | |
| 16 | Facsimile: (202) 66. | | |
| 17 | E 1 D 110: | | |
| 18 | 18 | lout Enashaal Lua | |
| 19 | 19 Attorneys for Defenda | ат Расевоок, 1пс. | |
| 20 | 20 | | |
| 21 | 21 | | |
| 22 | 22 | | |
| 23 | 23 | | |
| 24 | 24 | | |
| 25 | 25 | | |
| 26 | | 1 . 0.1 | |
| 27 | Both the FTC and State Attorneys General have designated most of these cases as related to their cases. <i>See FTC v. Facebook, Inc.</i> , No. 1:20-cv-03590-JEB (D.D.C. filed Dec. 9, 2020), | | |
| 28 | Dkt. Nos. 4, 10, 28, 31, 44, 45, 52, 53, 54, 55; New York v. Facebook, Inc., No. 1:20-cv-03589- | | |

)

JEB (D.D.C. filed Dec. 9, 2020), Dkt. Nos. 5, 9, 14, 56, 85, 86, 109, 110.

28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on March 10, 2021, I electronically transmitted the foregoing document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System. /s/ Sonal N. Mehta Sonal N. Mehta